1	Stationary waves weaken and delay the near-surface response to stratospheric
2	ozone depletion
3	Chaim I. Garfinkel, ^a Ian White, ^a Edwin P. Gerber, ^b Seok-Woo Son, ^c Martin Jucker, ^d
4	^a The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Institute of Earth Sciences, Edmond J. Safra
5	Campus, Givat Ram, Jerusalem, Israel
6	^b Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, New York, USA
7	^c School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul, South
8	Korea
9	^d Climate Change Research Centre and ARC Centre of Excellence for Climate Extremes,
10	University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

¹¹ Corresponding author: Chaim I. Garfinkel, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, In¹² stitute of Earth Sciences, Edmond J. Safra Campus, Givat Ram, Jerusalem, Israel,
¹³ chaim.garfinkel@mail.huji.ac.il.

An intermediate complexity moist General Circulation Model is used to ABSTRACT: 14 investigate the factors controlling the magnitude of the surface impact from Southern Hemi-15 sphere springtime ozone depletion. In contrast to previous idealized studies, a model with 16 full radiation is used, and further, the model can be run with a varied representation of the 17 surface, from a zonally uniform aquaplanet to a highly realistic configuration. The model 18 captures the positive Southern Annular Mode response to stratospheric ozone depletion evi-19 dent in observations and comprehensive models in December through February. It is shown 20 that while synoptic waves dominate the long-term poleward jet shift, the initial response in-21 cludes changes in planetary waves which simultaneously moderate the polar cap cooling (i.e., 22 a negative feedback), but also constitute nearly half of the initial momentum flux response 23 that shifts the jet polewards. The net effect is that stationary waves weaken the circulation 24 response to ozone depletion in both the stratosphere and troposphere, and also delay the 25 response until summer rather than spring when ozone depletion peaks. It is also found 26 that Antarctic surface cooling in response to ozone depletion helps strengthen the poleward 27 shift. However, essentially the same result is found when a diabatic cooling perturbation 28 (mimicing ozone depletion) is prescribed in the model, revealing that shortwave surface ef-29 fects of ozone are not critical. Finally, the jet response is shown to be linear with respect to 30 the magnitude of the imposed stratospheric perturbation, demonstrating the usefulness of 31 interannual variability in the severity of ozone depletion for subseasonal forecasting. 32

³³ 1. Introduction

Antarctic springtime ozone concentrations in the lower stratosphere decreased in the last 34 few decades of the twentieth century due to anthropogenic emissions of chlorofluorocarbons 35 (Solomon et al. 1986), and only recently have begun the slow process of recovery (Weber 36 et al. 2018). Ozone depletion is known to have been the dominant contributor over the 37 late 20th century to a poleward shift of the austral summer Southern Hemisphere (SH) 38 tropospheric midlatitude jet and associated storm track and precipitation, often quantified 39 by a positive index of the Southern Annular Mode (SAM), and to have led to an expansion 40 of the summer Hadley Cell (Trenberth and Stepaniak 2002; Gillett and Thompson 2003; Son 41 et al. 2010; Thompson et al. 2011; Kang et al. 2011; Polvani et al. 2011; McLandress et al. 42 2011; Eyring et al. 2013; Gerber and Son 2014; Gonzalez et al. 2014; Previdi and Polvani 43 2014; Waugh et al. 2015; Seviour et al. 2017; Son et al. 2018). Over the next ~ 50 years, 44 ozone recovery is expected to nearly cancel out changes in the tropospheric jet and Hadley 45 Cell that would otherwise be forced by greenhouse gases (Son et al. 2008; Polvani et al. 46 2011; Arblaster et al. 2011; Barnes and Polvani 2013; Gerber and Son 2014; Banerjee et al. 47 2020). Despite its importance, the mechanism whereby ozone depletion leads to a downward 48 impact, and the details of how this mechanism governs the magnitude of the impact, are 49 still unclear, e.g. as noted in successive WMO Ozone assessments (World Meteorological 50 Organization 2011, 2014; Karpechko et al. 2018). 51

This study focuses on the role of stationary vs. transient waves for the downward impact. 52 While SH stationary waves are weaker than their counterparts in the Northern Hemisphere, 53 they contribute roughly half of the heat flux in spring in the lower stratosphere (Kållberg 54 et al. 2005) and contribute to the inter-model spread in the timing of the ozone-hole breakup 55 (Hurwitz et al. 2010). A commonly used model in studies focusing on the mechanism(s) 56 for the surface response to ozone depletion is a dry dynamical core with a flat bottom 57 (e.g. Kushner and Polvani 2004; Sun et al. 2014; Yang et al. 2015; Smith and Scott 2016) 58 allowing for transient planetary waves only, or a highly idealized mountain (Gerber and 59 Polvani 2009; Domeisen et al. 2013). The importance of stationary waves in the SH for a 60 surface response cannot be readily evaluated in such setups by construction. Many of these 61 studies using flat-bottomed models nevertheless conclude that planetary waves are crucial 62

for the surface response. For example, Smith and Scott (2016) find that the response to a 63 stratospheric perturbation is weaker if interactions between planetary- and synoptic-scale 64 waves are suppressed, while Domeisen et al. (2013) find that the jet shifts in the opposite 65 direction if only planetary waves are present, ruling out the possibility that the jet shift 66 occurs purely as a response to changes in the planetary- or synoptic-scale wave fields alone. 67 However the lack of stationary planetary waves in these models resembling those in the SH 68 may lead to a mis-representation of the total impact of planetary waves. The goal of this 69 study is to answer this question: what is the relative role of synoptic vs. planetary waves 70 for the downward impact resulting from ozone depletion? 71

A secondary goal of this study is to disentangle the role of the surface temperature cooling 72 in response to ozone depletion for the jet response. The SAM response appears to account 73 for around half of the observed surface warming over the Antarctic Peninsula, nearly all 74 of the observed cooling over East Antarctica, and much of the warming over Patagonia 75 (Trenberth and Stepaniak 2002; Previdi and Polvani 2014). Nevertheless, radiative effects 76 may also be important for the tropospheric (Grise et al. 2009) and the surface temperature 77 (Yang et al. 2014) response to ozone depletion, though Chiodo et al. (2017) found the net 78 radiative effect at the surface to be weak. Regardless of how the tropospheric cooling arises, 79 the role of this tropospheric cooling for the jet shift, as compared to other mechanisms for 80 the downward impact, has not been isolated in previous work. 81

We take advantage of a recently developed intermediate complexity model that can delineate the role of different waves types and of surface cooling. Namely, it can be run alternately with realistic stationary waves or without any zonal asymmetry in the bottom boundary (e.g., topography), and thus clarify the role of stationary waves for the surface response. This model also allows us to carefully isolate the importance of surface temperature changes in response to ozone depletion by studying the jet response for different surface albedos over Antarctica.

After introducing this model in Section 2 and our diagnostics in Section 3, we demonstrate in Section 4 that the model in its most realistic configuration simulates a quantitatively realistic response to ozone depletion, but that the response is significantly stronger in an aquaplanet configuration. We consider reasons for this effect in Section 5, isolate the role of ⁹³ surface cooling in Section 6, and then summarize our results and place them in the context

⁹⁴ of previous work in Section 7.

⁹⁵ 2. An intermediate complexity atmospheric model

We use the Model of an idealized Moist Atmosphere (MiMA) introduced by Jucker and 96 Gerber (2017), Garfinkel et al. (2020b), and Garfinkel et al. (2020a). This model builds 97 on the aquaplanet models of Frierson et al. (2006), Frierson et al. (2007), and Merlis et al. 98 (2013). Very briefly, the model solves the moist primitive equations on the sphere, employing 99 a simplified Betts-Miller convection scheme (Betts 1986; Betts and Miller 1986), idealized 100 boundary layer scheme based on Monin-Obukhov similarity theory, and a purely thermo-101 dynamic (or slab) ocean. An important feature for this paper is that we use a realistic 102 radiation scheme - Rapid Radiative Transfer Model (RRTMG) (Mlawer et al. 1997; Iacono 103 et al. 2000) - which allows us to explicitly simulate the radiative response to ozone deple-104 tion, unlike previous studies using more idealized models with Newtonian cooling. Please 105 see Jucker and Gerber (2017) for more details. 106

This model can be run alternately as an aquaplanet, or with stationary waves quanti-107 tatively similar to those in comprehensive models (Garfinkel et al. 2020b,a). The most 108 realistic configuration of MiMA used in this study has boundary forcings that are identical 109 to those of Garfinkel et al. (2020a), and this configuration is referred to as STAT in the rest 110 of this paper. MiMA has no true land, rather the properties of the surface at gridpoints 111 that are land on Earth are modified to mimic land (Figure 3 of Jucker and Gerber 2017). 112 The net effect is that the STAT configuration includes three sources of zonal asymmetry 113 in the lower boundary: orography, prescribed east-west ocean heat transport, and land-sea 114 contrast (i.e., difference in heat capacity, surface friction, and moisture availability between 115 "ocean" gridpoints and "land" gridpoints). The specifications of these forcings can be found 116 in Garfinkel et al. (2020a). The same albedo value is applied to all wavelengths of incoming 117 solar radiation. 118

We analyze the response to an identical ozone hole for four different tropospheric configurations: (i) the Southern Hemisphere (SH) of STAT, (ii) the Northern Hemisphere (NH) of STAT (STATNH), (iii) an aquaplanet with albedo of 0.27 globally (including over "Antarc-

tica"), and (iv) an aquaplanet but in which the albedo over "Antarctica" is increased to 0.8 122 and elsewhere lowered to 0.23 (as in STAT, see equation A3 of Garfinkel et al. 2020a) to 123 help maintain a similar global mean and "Antarctic" temperature to STAT. We refer to these 124 last two experiments as AQUA27 and AQUA80 in the rest of this paper. The AQUA runs 125 have no stationary waves, but both aquaplanet integrations still include north-south ocean 126 heat transport (Eq. A4 of Garfinkel et al. 2020a). The aquaplanet runs use a mixed-layer 127 depth of 75m everywhere (including Antarctica) and oceanic settings for surface roughness; 128 in contrast, STAT has a larger surface roughness and mixed layer depth of 2.5m over "land" 129 (including Antarctica), and a varying mixed-layer depth for ocean gridpoints (see Eq. A2) 130 of Garfinkel et al. 2020a). The NH STAT configuration is not meant to simulate a boreal 131 winter ozone "hole", either as observed in 1997, 2011 or 2020 (Hurwitz et al. 2011; Manney 132 et al. 2011; Rao and Garfinkel 2020; Lawrence et al. 2020; Rao and Garfinkel 2021) or as in 133 a world avoided scenario (Newman et al. 2009; Garcia et al. 2012). Rather, it explores how 134 the exact same ozone perturbation impacts the circulation with a very different climatology 135 of stationary (and synoptic) waves. 136

For all tropospheric configurations, we compare a pair of simulations: (1) a preindustrial simulation forced with the monthly varying latitude vs. height climatology of ozone in the CMIP6 ozone specification averaged from 1860 to 1899 (PI simulation; Checa-Garcia et al. 2018; Checa-Garcia 2018); and (2) a simulation forced with the monthly varying latitude vs. height climatology of ozone in the CMIP6 ozone specification averaged from 1990 to 1999, which we then further reduce by a factor of 4 over the pole between 150hPa and 30hPa by multiplying by the factor $\Phi(\varphi)$:

$$\Phi(\varphi) = 1 - 3/8 \left(1 - \tanh\left[\frac{\varphi + 65^{\circ}}{3^{\circ}}\right] \right), \tag{1}$$

where φ denotes latitude. This additional reduction in the polar lower stratosphere is intended to capture springs with stronger than average ozone depletion (Previdi and Polvani 2014), and is included to enhance the signal to noise ratio. An experiment without this additional reduction leads to a weaker surface response, which is consistent with previous work that has argued that interannual variability of ozone concentrations can be used to improve the skill of seasonal and subseasonal forecasting (Son et al. 2013; Bandoro et al. 2014; ¹⁵⁰ Hendon et al. 2020; Jucker and Goyal 2022; Oh et al. 2022). The linearity of the response ¹⁵¹ is discussed in more detail in Section 5c. For the NH ozone hole experiments, Equation 1 is ¹⁵² suitably modified to $\Phi(\varphi) = 1 - 3/8 \left(1 + \tanh\left[\frac{\varphi - 65}{3}\right]\right)$ to place the additional reduction over ¹⁵³ the North Pole.

The ozone hole runs branch from October 1st (March 1st for STATNH) of each of the last 154 65 years of the respective preindustrial control runs for a total of 65 ensemble members, and 155 are then integrated for at least 150 days. The results are shown in terms of the difference 156 between the ozone hole simulation and the PI simulation (ozone hole - PI), though all 157 conclusions are just as applicable to ozone recovery (with reversed sign). The net change of 158 ozone is shown in Figure 1abc, which shows days 1 to 30 (October), 31 to 70 (November and 159 early December), and 71 to 120 (rest of December and January). The ozone perturbation is 160 evident throughout the spring and decays in early summer. In the polar lower stratosphere, 161 more than 90% of the preindustrial ozone is locally depleted, and this reduction is within 162 the range of realistic values (Solomon et al. 2005; Previdi and Polvani 2014). Ozone actually 163 increases slightly in the upper stratosphere in summer due to dynamical feedbacks (Stolarski 164 et al. 2006). While differences in ozone at other latitudes are present, they are small and 165 will be ignored in the rest of this work. 166

In order to isolate any effect of ozone on surface shortwave absorption (Grise et al. 2009; 167 Yang et al. 2014; Chiodo et al. 2017), and also to more cleanly connect our results to studies 168 using dry models with an imposed diabatic cooling (Kushner and Polvani 2004; Sheshadri 169 and Plumb 2016), we also performed simulations in which a diabatic cooling perturbation is 170 imposed in the lower stratosphere. Our goal is to match the stratospheric diabatic cooling 171 perturbation due to ozone, and thus we show in Figure 1d-f the net diabatic cooling pertur-172 bation as computed by the model in the presence of reduced ozone. The diabatic heating rate 173 is ~ -0.5 K/day in the polar lower stratosphere. The upper stratospheric diabatic cooling is 174 due to the dynamically induced warming resulting in enhanced longwave emission (Manzini 175 et al. 2003; McLandress et al. 2010; Orr et al. 2012a). Motivated by this, we impose a 176 diabatic perturbation between 150hPa and 30hPa with the latitudinal dependence given by 177 equation 1, and hold it constant in time with no seasonality. The effect of this diabatic cool-178 ing perturbation is explored both for a diabatic cooling perturbation similar in magnitude 179

and location to the one due to ozone depletion (peaking at -0.5 K/day; DIAB simulation) 180 and also a factor of five larger (peaking at -2.5 K/day; DIAB5x simulation). Note that the 181 net effect on the stratospheric vortex of the -0.5 K/day perturbation is slightly weaker than 182 the corresponding ozone hole depletion run, as the -0.5 K/day perturbation is weakened by 183 a negative feedback: cooler lower stratospheric temperatures lead to less longwave emission. 184 Table 1 summarizes all experiments included in this paper. For all integrations, the model 185 is forced with CO_2 concentrations fixed at 390ppmv and seasonally varying solar insolation. 186 All simulations in this paper were run with a triangular truncation at wavenumber 42 (T42) 187 with 40 vertical levels. All integrations use the identical settings for the gravity wave drag 188 parameterization. 189

The climatological zonal mean wind in the PI integrations is shown in Supplemental Figure 190 S1 for AQUA80 and STAT. The vortex breaks down more quickly in November in STAT 191 due to the presence of additional tropospheric wave driving. In addition, the vortex is 192 wider in AQUA80 and more meridionally confined in STAT, and hence the waveguide for 193 Rossby waves into the stratosphere is better defined in STAT. Additional experiments with 194 the STAT configuration but in which the gravity wave flux was decreased have also been 195 performed so that the climatological November stratospheric vortex is stronger in STAT 196 than in AQUA80, in order to assess sensitivity of the tropospheric response in STAT to the 197 climatological stratospheric vortex strength. Results were quantitatively similar to those 198 shown here (not shown). 199

206 3. Diagnostics

The role of synoptic and planetary waves in driving the poleward jet shift is diagnosed using the Eulerian mean zonal momentum budget: Table 1. MiMA Experiments, with "Y" indicating a forcing is on and "N" indicating a forcing is off. For ozone, we compare a "preindustrial" simulation using ozone concentrations from the CMIP6 read-in file over the years 1860-1899 to a simulation using ozone concentrations from the CMIP6 read-in file over the years 1990-1999, which were then modified in the Antarctic lower stratosphere (see section 2) to capture a deeper ozone hole evident in some years. The November SH jet latitude and January annular mode timescale (in days) in the PI integration is included.

	perturbation	surface zonal structure	"Antarctica" albedo	Nov jet latitude	AM timescale		
STAT, O ₃ hole-PI	ozone loss	Y	0.8	47.7S	37		
AQUA80, O_3 hole-PI	ozone loss	Ν	0.8	46.5S	43		
AQUA27, O_3 hole-PI	ozone loss	Ν	0.27	43.1S	50		
STATNH, O_3 hole-PI	ozone loss	Y	0.8		22		
STAT, DIAB-PI	diabatic $1x$	Y	0.8	47.7S	37		
AQUA80, DIAB-PI	diabatic $1x$	Ν	0.8	46.5S	43		
STAT, $DIAB5x-PI$	diabatic 5x	Y	0.8	47.7S	37		
AQUA80, DIAB5x-PI	diabatic 5x	Ν	0.8	46.5S	43		

Table:	MiMA	Model	experiments
--------	------	-------	-------------

$$\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial t} = -\underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{a\cos^{2}\varphi}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}(\cos^{2}\varphi\overline{u'_{k\leq3}}) + \frac{1}{\rho_{0}}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\rho_{0}\overline{u'_{k\leq3}})\right)}_{edd_{1-3}} -\underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{a\cos^{2}\varphi}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}(\cos^{2}\varphi\overline{u'_{k>3}}) + \frac{1}{\rho_{0}}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\rho_{0}\overline{u'_{k>3}})\right)}_{edd_{4+}} +\underbrace{f_{\psi}}_{f_{\psi}} - \underbrace{\left(-\frac{\partial\overline{u}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{a\cos\varphi}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}(\overline{u}\cos\varphi)\right)}_{advect} + \overline{X} + res \quad (2)$$

(e.g., Andrews et al. 1987; Hitchcock and Simpson 2016) where the acceleration of the zonalmean zonal wind on the left hand side is contributed to by processes associated with (from left to right on the right hand side): eddy momentum flux convergence due to planetary waves (*edd* $_{1-3}$), eddy momentum flux convergence due to synoptic waves (*edd* $_{4+}$), Coriolis torques acting on the meridional motion (f_{-}), mean flow momentum advection (advect), and parameterised processes including the zonal wind tendency due to vertical and horizontal diffusion and gravity-wave drag in the model (\overline{X}) . All variables follow standard notation (e.g., see Andrews et al. 1987). The final term (res) is the budget residual and is contributed to by issues associated with sampling and truncation errors.

Previous work has linked the climatological position of the jet, the Southern Annular mode 218 (SAM) timescale, and the amplitude of the jet response to polar stratospheric perturbations 219 (e.g. Garfinkel et al. 2013b). The SAM and the e-folding timescale of the corresponding 220 principle component timeseries is computed following the methodology of Baldwin et al. 221 (2003) and Gerber et al. (2008). Jet latitude is computed by fitting the 850hPa zonal mean 222 zonal wind near the jet maxima (as computed at the model's T42 resolution) to a second 223 order polynomial, and then evaluating the polynomial at a meridional resolution of 0.12° . 224 The latitude of the maximum of this polynomial is the jet latitude (Garfinkel et al. 2013a). 225

4. The response to an identical ozone perturbation with and without stationary waves

We begin by showing that in the STAT configuration of MiMA, ozone loss leads to impacts 239 similar to those shown in previous works using reanalysis or comprehensive models. Figure 240 1ghi shows the temperature response to reduced ozone. Temperatures in the polar lower 241 stratosphere gradually decrease over the first two months and reach -15K by November, and 242 the anomaly propagates downward to near the tropopause in late December (Figure 1i). 243 This cooling is similar to that observed during years with a particularly strong ozone hole 244 relative to 1960s conditions (Randel et al. 2009; Previdi and Polvani 2014). The zonal wind 245 response is shown in Figure 1jkl, and captures the response evident in reanalysis, CMIP, 246 and CCMI data (Previdi and Polvani 2014; Son et al. 2018). 247

The spatial distribution of ozone-induced tropospheric circulation changes is illustrated in Figure 2. As anticipated from Figure 1jkl, changes in 500hPa geopotential height resemble the canonical SAM pattern (Figure 2bc, Kidson 1988; Thompson and Wallace 2000; Thompson et al. 2011) with lower heights in subpolar latitudes and higher heights between 40S and 50S. The model also simulates the precipitation response to ozone depletion unlike dry models used in many mechanistic studies. Figure 2def shows an increase in precipitation over Southeastern Australia and Southeastern South America and drying over New Zealand





Fig. 1. Zonal-mean responses to ozone loss [i.e., ozone hole minus preindustrial (PI)] in the most realistic configuration, STAT, in (left) days 1-30 after branching, i.e. October; (middle) days 31 to 70, i.e. November and December 1-10; (right) days 71 to 120, i.e. December 11 through January 30. (a-c) ozone perturbation; (d-f) diabatic heating rate computed as the sum of the temperature tendency due to longwave, shortwave, and latent heat release; (g-i) temperature; (j-l) zonal wind. The bottom two rows are as in (g) through (l) but for an aquaplanet configuration with "Antarctic"



Fig. 2. Map view of ozone loss response (ozone hole - PI) in the most realistic configuration in (left) days 1-30 after branching, i.e. October; (middle) days 31 to 70; (right) days 71 to 120. (a-c) geopotential height at 500hPa; (d-f) precipitation. Stippling indicates anomalies statistically significant at the 95% level.

(in agreement with observed trends; Hendon et al. 2007; Ummenhofer et al. 2009; Gonzalez
et al. 2014). Such precipitation changes are consistent with a poleward shift of the jet.

The increase in subpolar zonal wind peaks near day 75 at 77hPa (December 15th; Figure 257 3a), though higher in the stratosphere the response peaks earlier, and is followed by a 258 zonal wind and SAM response in the troposphere (Figure 3b for 850hPa wind and 3c for 259 geopotential height). While a tropospheric response begins to develop in November, it does 260 not project onto a classical SAM pattern but rather an acceleration of winds on the subpolar 261 flank of the jet similar to the responses in White et al. (2020, 2022). Only in December (and 262 then intensifying into early January) the wind anomalies resemble a dipole flanking the 263 climatological jet as seen in previous work. 264

Encouraged by the quantitative accuracy of the response in the most realistic configuration, we now take advantage of the flexibility of the idealized model in order to understand the role of stationary waves for the surface response. As discussed in Section 2, the same



Fig. 3. Development and downward propagation of the response to the ozone perturbation in the most realistic configuration. (a) 77hPa zonal wind; (b) 850hPa zonal wind; (c) 850hPa polar cap geopotential height; upper tropospheric meridional Eliassen-Palm flux due to (d) planetary and (e) synoptic waves. The tropospheric jet latitude is shown in (a) and (b) with gray diamonds. Stippling indicates anomalies statistically significant at the 95% level.

²⁷³ ozone perturbation has also been imposed in two aquaplanet configurations of the model ²⁷⁴ (differing only in the polar albedo) and in the Northern Hemisphere. We begin with the ²⁷⁵ aquaplanet configuration with a polar albedo of 0.8 (AQUA80), as this turns out to be ²⁷⁶ the tropospheric configuration with the largest surface response to ozone depletion, with ²⁷⁷ other configurations discussed later. Even though the ozone perturbations are identical, ²⁷⁸ the wind response (Figure 1, bottom row) is larger in AQUA801 and the cooling of the

¹STAT features enhanced surface drag over Antarctica as compared to AQUA80 likely explaining some of the enhanced response in AQUA80 (see Supplemental Figure S2), however the response is stronger in the stratosphere as well as in midlatitudes where the specification of surface drag is identical



Fig. 4. Evolution of zonal wind from 54S to 80S for the [ozone hole-PI] runs with (a) realistic stationary waves (STAT), (b) an aquaplanet, with "Antarctic" albedo equal to 0.8 (AQUA80). (c) difference between (a) and (b). The contour interval is 2m/s in (a) and (b) and 0.5m/s in (c). The 1m/s contour is indicated in red in (a) and (b). Stippling indicates anomalies statistically significant at the 95% level. (d) vertical component of the EP flux at 40hPa area-weighted average from 80S to 45S [k/s^2], with a thick line denoting a significant response to ozone.

²⁷⁹ polar lowermost stratosphere is also ~ 20% larger in AQUA80. The difference in zonal wind ²⁸⁰ response between the two configurations is statistically significant at the 5% level after day ²⁸¹ 45 in both the stratosphere and troposphere (Figure 4c). The geopotential height response ²⁸² in the troposphere to ozone loss is more than twice as large in AQUA80 than in STAT ²⁸³ (Figure 2abc vs 5abc and Figure 3c vs. 6c), and the precipitation response is also more ²⁸⁴ extensive due to the lack of Antarctic orography (Figure 5def). The difference in response ²⁸⁵ is evident both in November and in December/January (Figure 4c).



Fig. 5. As in Figure 2 but for an aquaplanet configuration with "Antarctic" albedo=0.8. Note that the color scale for the top row differs from Figure 2. Continental outlines are included for reference only.

²⁹⁵ 5. Why do stationary waves reduce the amplitude of the response?

To answer this question, we explore the impacts of stationary and transient planetary waves on the jet response to ozone loss and equivalent diabatic cooling anomalies.

²⁹⁸ a. Stationary waves negatively feed-back on the jet shift response

Even though the ozone perturbation is identical in STAT and AQUA80, ozone depletion 299 leads to less stratospheric vortex strengthening and polar cap cooling in STAT relative to 300 AQUA80 (Figure 1 and 4c) due to the presence of stationary waves. This difference in 301 response to an identical ozone perturbation occurs because the strengthened vortex in late 302 spring and early summer (e.g. November and December) due to ozone depletion favors 303 more upward wave propagation. The subsequent enhanced wave convergence within the 304 stratosphere leads to dynamical warming of the polar cap via downwelling of the vertical 305 wind of the residual circulation. This cancels a part of the radiatively driven cooling near 306 the tropopause (Manzini et al. 2003; Li et al. 2010; McLandress et al. 2010; Orr et al. 2012a, 307



Fig. 6. As in Figure 3 but for aquaplanet with "Antarctic" albedo=0.8.

Figure 1d-i). However this increase in upward propagating waves is more dramatic in the 308 presence of stronger wave forcing from below, and in STAT these upward propagating waves 309 are indeed stronger due to the presence of stationary waves forced by the bottom boundary. 310 We demonstrate this effect in Figure 4d, which shows the vertical component of the 311 Eliassen-Palm (EP_z) flux at 40hPa; other levels in the mid- and lower- stratosphere ex-312 hibit a similar response (Supplemental Figure S3). In STAT (blue line), an ozone hole leads 313 to increased upward wave flux by late October, and the anomaly stays positive throughout 314 the duration of the run. The increase in AQUA80 is weaker however (black line), and the 315 difference between STAT and AQUA80 is statistically significant between days 75 and 90, 316 though if we time average in e.g., 10 day chunks, the signal emerges from the noise after day 317 30. The net effect is a warmer polar stratosphere and less accelerated vortex in STAT (Fig-318 ure 4c). Hence, stationary waves act as a negative feedback on the stratospheric response to 319



Fig. 7. Decomposition of the eddy forcing term in Figure 10ghi into the various wavenumber components. (a-c) wavenumber 1; (d-f) wavenumber 2 through 3; (g-i) wavenumbers 4 and larger. The difference between AQUA80 ozone hole and AQUA80 PI is shown.

³²⁰ ozone, acting to partially offset the ozone-induced cooling, and thus partially mitigate the ³²¹ poleward tropospheric jet shift.

We demonstrate this further by comparing the Eulerian mean eddy driving term for 325 AQUA80 as compared to STAT. Figure 7abc and 8abc decompose this eddy forcing into its 326 wave-1 component for AQUA80 and STAT respectively. Recall that wave-1 is the dominant 327 zonal wavenumber of stationary waves in STAT (Garfinkel et al. 2020a). In STAT, wave-1 328 acts to weaken the vortex even as ozone depletion is strengthening it, however in AQUA80 329 wave-1 (which is composed of transient waves only) is associated with a net strengthening 330 of the vortex. Results are similar if the Transformed Eulerian Mean (TEM) is used as well 331 (Supplemental Figure S3-S4), with the anomalies in wave-1 EP_z and subpolar stratospheric 332 EP flux divergence resembling an amplified version of those present in the climatology. This 333 amplification of climatological wave-1 EP_z and EP flux divergence leads to a stronger vortex 334 response in AQUA80 than in STAT to the same ozone perturbation. 335



Fig. 8. As in 7 but for the difference between STAT ozone hole and STAT PI.

This negative feedback caused by the presence of stationary waves can be further demon-336 strated by imposing an ozone perturbation in the Northern Hemisphere. The stratospheric 337 wind and temperature responses are clearly much weaker (Supplemental Figure S5) and 338 no longer robustly extend into the troposphere. We quantify the relationship between the 339 subpolar zonal wind responses to ozone depletion in the lower stratosphere and lower tropo-340 sphere in Figure 9, which compares the response of subpolar zonal wind in the (y-axis) lower 341 stratosphere and (x-axis) lower troposphere. The blue line shows the response in STAT in 342 the SH: the average wind anomaly for days 61 to 75 is 7.8 m/s at 77 hPa and 1.2 m/s at 343 850hPa; in contrast, in AQUA80 the wind responses are stronger (black, 9.5m/s at 77hPa 344 and 2.0m/s at 850hPa). The corresponding changes for the NH (in green) are much weaker 345 both in the lower stratosphere and troposphere despite cooling aloft (3.3 m/s and 0.3 m/s)346 respectively). The net effect is that stationary waves, of which there is more activity in the 347 NH, help dampen the surface response to ozone depletion. 348

evolution of subpolar U



Fig. 9. Evolution of subpolar U for the (a) [ozone hole-PI] runs with (blue) realistic stationary 349 waves, (black) an aquaplanet with "Antarctic" albedo equal to 0.8, and (green) Northern Hemisphere 350 with realistic stationary waves. (b) runs analogous to [ozone hole-PI] but in which a diabatic 35 cooling perturbation is imposed directly (see methods). The mean of each fifteen day segment after 352 branching is indicated with a dot, and is labeled by the last day included in the fifteen day segment 353 (e.g. 30 is for days 16 to 30). For (b), for the runs with a factor of five increase in diabatic cooling 354 rate, we divide the response by a factor of five. A dashed gray line indicates a constant reference 355 slope of 3.5. 356

³⁵⁷ b. Transient planetary waves encourage the jet response

Even though stationary planetary waves dampen lower stratospheric cooling and thus 358 the surface response, we now show that transient planetary waves do the opposite: they 359 contribute positively to the surface response in agreement with Smith and Scott (2016). We 360 demonstrate this by considering the Eulerian mean momentum budget for AQUA80 which 361 captures only transient planetary waves by design. The zonal wind tendency calculated 362 explicitly is shown in Figure 10abc, and the various terms in the budget (equation 2) are 363 shown in the rest of Figure 10. Figure 10def shows the sum of all terms on the right-hand 364 size of equation 2, which should be equal to the zonal wind tendency in Figure 10abc. This 365 is indeed the case: the budget closes in nearly all regions, though some of the fine-scale 366 details of the wind tendencies differ due to truncation errors in the calculations. 367

The dominant terms are the eddy forcing term (Figure 10ghi) and the coriolis torque 368 (Figure 10jkl), with the acceleration in most regions and time periods provided by the eddy 369 forcing term. The sum of the eddy forcing and coriolis terms (Figure 10mno) captures the 370 bulk of the total tendency in most regions/time periods (Figure 10def), but crucially in the 371 mid- and upper- stratosphere changes in gravity wave absorption act as a negative feedback 372 in days 31 to 70 (late spring), and dominate the response in days 71 to 120 (summer). 373 The zonal wind anomaly peaks in December before weakening in January and February 374 because the already accelerated vortex allows for more gravity wave absorption above the 375 mid-stratosphere. The advection term also contributes in regions with strong wind gradients 376 (Figure 10stu). The net effect is that the dominant term for the subpolar zonal acceleration 377 is the resolved eddy term in Figure 10ghi, and importantly this wave-induced acceleration 378 extends from the stratosphere to below the tropopause. A similar interpretation is reached 379 using the TEM budget (Supplemental Figure S6). 380

Figure 7 decomposes the eddy forcing into its wavenumber components. At early lags, 387 the subpolar tropospheric response arises mostly through wave-2 and wave-3 (Figure 7def), 388 while for days 71 to 120 synoptic wavenumbers are most important at all latitudes (Figure 389 7ghi). The wave-2 and wave-3 present in AQUA80 are transient planetary waves, and it is 390 clear that they help set up the initial jet shift and then contribute a continued acceleration at 391 subpolar latitudes. Wave-1 does not contribute to forcing the jet shift (Figure 7abc). These 392 conclusions are true of the STAT runs as well (Figure 8) despite observed and STAT SH 393 stationary waves being dominated by wave-1 (Garfinkel et al. 2020a) leading to a different 394 stratospheric response of wave-1 to ozone depletion (Figure 7 abc vs 8abc). Thus, the 395 stratospheric wave-1 response is not of direct relevance for the tropospheric jet shift. 396

The importance of both planetary and synoptic waves is also evident using the TEM budget (as in Orr et al. 2012b). The time evolution of the upper tropospheric (200-400hPa) meridional component of the EP flux (EP) in response to ozone loss is shown in Figure 3de and 6de for STAT and AQUA80; both synoptic and planetary waves are important. The timing of the increase in EP is similar for both synoptic and planetary waves, however, and thus it is unclear if one can be argued to help induce the other. That being said, these figures (and also Figure 7) show that at later lags, synoptic wavenumbers dominate the response.



Fig. 10. Eulerian mean momentum budget for the [ozone hole-PI] aquaplanet runs, with "Antarctic" albedo equal to 0.8 in (left) days 1-30 after branching, i.e. October; (middle) days 31 to 70; (right) days 71 to 120. (a-c) total wind tendency; (d-f) sum of all terms; (g-i) eddy forcing terms (u'v' and u'w'); (j-l) coriolis torque; (m-o) sum of eddy forcing and coriolis torque; (p-r) gravity wave drag; (s-u) advection of mean zonal wind. Note that the color-bar for (g-i) and (j-l) differ from that in (m-o) due to the strong cancellation between eddy forcing and coriolis torque (as expected).

A similar relative role for planetary waves vs. synoptic waves for the tropospheric jet shift is evident for both AQUA80 and STAT in response to ozone loss (in both Figure 3de and 6de), and hence the presence of stationary waves does not appear to affect the ability of planetary waves to contribute to the jet shift. However the jet shift is weaker for STAT (due to a weaker stratospheric response as discussed above) and consistent with this the overall eddy forcing is weaker too (Figure 3de vs. 6de).

410 c. Linearity of response and comparison of stratospheric diabatic heating to ozone loss

In addition to the ozone hole runs presented thus far, we have also performed integrations 411 in which a diabatic cooling perturbation replaces the ozone perturbation. As discussed 412 in Section 2, the spatial structure of the diabatic cooling perturbation follows the ozone 413 perturbation, and its magnitude (-0.5 K/day) mimics that due to ozone depletion (Figure 414 1d-f). The benefit from these diabatic cooling runs are two-fold: first, we can increase the 415 amplitude of this diabatic cooling perturbation at will and hence explore the linearity of the 416 response. (In contrast, the impact of ozone saturates as concentrations cannot be negative.) 417 Second, there is no shortwave heating perturbation by construction as ozone is unchanged 418 (the effects of UV on the surface energy budget discussed in Chiodo et al. 2017, are turned 419 off), and hence the stationary waves present in STAT but absent in AQUA80 are the only 420 factor that can lead to a difference in the surface response. 421

We begin with the linearity of the response. Figure 9b is similar to Figure 9a, but showing 422 the response to a diabatic cooling perturbation imposed on STAT and AQUA80 (STAT 423 DIAB-PI and AQUA80 DIAB-PI on Table 1). By construction, the lower stratospheric and 424 tropospheric wind response for a -0.5 K/day perturbation (the dark purple and dark gray 425 lines) in Figure 9b resemble qualitatively their counterpart in Figure 9a. The experiments 426 with a factor of five times stronger perturbation (-2.5 K/day) are also shown in Figure 9b, but 427 with the subsequent response divided by a factor of five. It is clear that the response is fairly 428 linear, consistent with White et al. (2020) who find a generally linear response to short-lived 429 but stronger thermal perturbations. Note that the response in AQUA80 is slightly weaker 430 than might be expected by linearity, though the response for STAT is stronger. This result 431 highlights the fact that interannual variability in ozone concentrations should be useful for 432



Fig. 11. Evolution of zonal wind from 54S to 80S for the Diabatic-PI runs with (a) realistic stationary waves, (b) an aquaplanet, with "Antarctic" albedo equal to 0.8. (c) difference between (a) and (b). The 1m/s contour is indicated in red in (a) and (b). (d) vertical component of the EP flux at 40hPa area-weighted average from 80S to 45S $[k_{-}/s^{2}]$, with a thick line denoting a significant response to the diabatic perturbation.

seasonal predictability of surface climate (Son et al. 2013; Bandoro et al. 2014; Hendon et al.
2020; Jucker and Goyal 2022; Oh et al. 2022).

Next, we use these diabatic forcing experiments to isolate the role of stationary waves for 435 the downward response, as these experiments do not allow for any perturbation of short-436 wave radiation on the surface by ozone. The subpolar zonal wind response for STAT and 437 AQUA80 to an identical diabatic perturbation is shown in Figure 11a and 11b, and the 438 difference between the two is in Figure 11c. The diabatic perturbation causes a larger zonal 439 wind response in AQUA80 in both the stratosphere and troposphere after day 30. Hence, 440 stationary waves lead to a negative feedback on the response even if surface shortwave effects 441 are suppressed, as diagnosed by the TEM momentum budget in Supplemental Figure S7. 442 Note that for the diabatic experiments the EP flux anomalies also resemble an amplification 443 of the climatological EP flux (Supplemental Figure S4). Overall, these results support the 444 conclusion of Chiodo et al. (2017) that shortwave surface effects are not important for the 445 tropospheric response in austral summer. 446

452 6. The role of surface cooling and jet latitude/persistence

Surface temperature over Antarctica cools in response to ozone depletion (Grise et al. 2009; Yang et al. 2014; Previdi and Polvani 2014), and while much of this change is likely due to the shift of the jet (or equivalently, the shift towards a positive SAM index), this cooling can still feedback onto the jet shift. We now use the idealized model to isolate the impacts of the surface temperature change on the jet.

Recall that the albedo in both AQUA80 and STAT is 0.8 over Antarctica and 0.23 else-458 where. In order to disentangle the role of the surface temperature changes over Antarctica on 459 the jet shift, we have performed an additional aquaplanet integration with an albedo of 0.27460 everywhere (AQUA27). AQUA80 and AQUA27 differ only in the specification of albedo; 461 by summer, surface temperatures rise over Antarctica by 1K due to enhanced shortwave ab-462 sorption in AQUA27, rather than cooling by 4K as in AQUA80 (Figure 12d). The warmer 463 near-surface tropospheric polar cap in AQUA27 leads to higher geopotential height throughout the column, as can be quantified using the the hypsometric equation (not shown). The 465 net effect is that the meridional gradient in geopotential is more extreme in AQUA80 than 466 in AQUA27, and thus the stratospheric zonal wind response and tropospheric jet shift (Fig-467 ure 12abc) are stronger in AQUA80. In other words, the polar surface cooling in AQUA80 468 reinforces the ozone-induced poleward shift, and hence provides a positive feedback. 469

Son et al. (2010) and Garfinkel et al. (2013b) found that the tropospheric response to an 470 identical polar stratospheric diabatic perturbation is sensitive to jet latitude and jet persis-471 tence, with jets closer to 40°S more persistent and more sensitive to stratospheric perturba-472 tions. This finding is apparently contradicted by the responses in AQUA27 and AQUA80: 473 the response is weaker in AQUA27 relative to that in AQUA80 even as the jet latitude is 474 closer to 40°S and the annular mode timescale of the SAM is slightly longer in AQUA27 475 (Table 1). This indicates that the surface temperature effect in AQUA27 overwhelms the 476 jet latitude/eddy feedback strength effect2. 477

²Note that jet latitude in STAT is poleward of that in AQUA80 by 1.2 degrees (Table 1), while the annular mode timescale is slightly shorter in STAT likely because stationary waves act to interfere with eddy feedback. While this slightly weaker eddy feedback may explain part of the weaker tropospheric response in STAT, it cannot explain the weaker stratospheric response. Note also that the polar surface cooling in AQUA80 is not present in STAT (consistent with the opposite signed surface temperature anomalies associated with the SAM in the preindustrial control run of each configuration, Supplemental Figure S9), which also may explain some of the weakened response in STAT.

In order to cleanly assess the eddy feedback strength effect highlighted by Garfinkel et al. 478 (2013b), we have performed an experiment using the AQUA80 configuration but in which 479 the jet is pushed $\sim 7^{\circ}$ further poleward. This is achieved by imposing a stronger and more 480 poleward meridional ocean heat transport gradient following equation A8 of Garfinkel et al. 481 (2020a) with an amplitude of $50Wm^{-2}$, which leads to a poleward shift of the sea surface 482 temperature gradient. The response to ozone depletion is shown in Supplemental Figure 483 S8, and it is clear that the tropospheric response is weaker, as expected. Both integrations lack stationary waves, and the surface shortwave effects are identical. Hence the weakened 485 tropospheric response must be due to jet latitude and weakened eddy feedback. 486

This run includes a stronger sea surface temperature front than AQUA80 yet has a weaker 487 response, apparently contrary to Ogawa et al. (2015) who find a stronger sea surface temper-488 ature front leads to a stronger response. However our results and those of Ogawa et al. (2015) 489 can be reconciled if one focuses on the eddy feedback strength: in both papers a stronger 490 eddy feedback strength leads to a stronger response, and the difference in the specification 491 of the sea surface temperature front leads to a different effect on eddy feedback. Hence, the 492 results of Ogawa et al. (2015) may have more to do with the eddy feedback strength in their 493 simulations than the well-defined sea surface temperature front. 494

⁵⁰⁰ 7. Discussion and Conclusions

Ozone depletion is known to have been the dominant contributor to a poleward shift of 501 the Southern Hemisphere (SH) tropospheric midlatitude jet, precipitation, and storm tracks 502 over the late 20th century. Over the next 50 years, ozone recovery is expected to nearly 503 cancel out changes in the jet and Hadley Cell that would otherwise be forced by greenhouse 504 gases (Polvani et al. 2011; Arblaster et al. 2011; Barnes and Polvani 2013; Gerber and Son 505 2014; Waugh et al. 2015; Seviour et al. 2017; Son et al. 2018; Banerjee et al. 2020). The 506 degree of cancellation is uncertain and model dependent, however, leading to uncertainty 507 in future projections (Gerber and Son 2014). The mechanism whereby ozone depletion 508 leads to a downward impact, and the details of how this mechanism governs the magnitude 509 of the impact, are still unclear (as noted in WMO Ozone assessments in 2010, 2014, and 510 2018). While previous work has shown that jet latitude (Garfinkel et al. 2013b) and the 511



Fig. 12. Evolution of zonal wind from 54S to 80S for the [ozone hole-PI] runs for an aquaplanet (a) with "Antarctic" albedo equal to 0.27 (AQUA27), (b) with "Antarctic" albedo equal to 0.8 (AQUA80). (c) difference between (a) and (b). The 1m/s contour is indicated in red in (a) and (b). (d) the 80S-pole area weighted average temperature response [ozone hole-PI] for AQUA27 (red) and AQUA80 (black).

details of the ozone forcing (Neely et al. 2014; Young et al. 2014) are important, we have demonstrated two additional processes that regulate the magnitude of the downward impact: surface cooling and stationary waves.

This study takes advantage of an intermediate complexity model that can delineate the 515 role of these two effects. We integrate it with realistic stationary waves, comparing it to 516 runs without any zonal asymmetry in the bottom boundary. For both configurations of the 517 bottom boundary, we compare integrations with an ozone hole in which surface shortwave 518 feedbacks are present, to integrations with a diabatic temperature tendency that mimics 519 the shortwave effects of ozone depletion in the stratosphere only. By comparing these runs, 520 we isolate the role of stationary waves for the surface response, and demonstrate that the 521 response is twice as strong for many of the diagnostics examined when no stationary waves 522

are present (Figure 1mno, 5, 6, and 11ab). We find a quantitatively similar effect if the gravity wave settings in STAT are changed so that the vortex in STAT is stronger than that in AQUA80, and hence the stratospheric vortex climatological strength is not a leading order factor.

The presence of stationary planetary scale waves leads to a weaker response to an identi-527 cal diabatic cooling perturbation starting in November and extending into February. This 528 effect arises because stationary waves negatively feedback on the imposed stratospheric per-529 turbation and weaken it if stationary waves are forced by the bottom boundary. That is, as 530 the vortex strengthens it allows more upward wave activity into the stratosphere, and this 531 reservoir of wave activity is larger if stationary waves are present. Even though Southern 532 Hemisphere stationary waves are weaker than their Northern Hemisphere counterpart, they 533 nonetheless are crucial for regulating the net response to ozone depletion. 534

We demonstrate that surface radiative effects are not critical for the tropospheric response, 535 in agreement with Chiodo et al. (2017), by contrasting the response to ozone depletion vs. 536 an equivalent stratospheric diabatic cooling perturbation (Figure 9). While surface radiative 537 effects are not important, the surface temperature response does contribute to the magnitude 538 of the jet shift. Specifically, by integrating the model in an aquaplanet configuration but 539 with different surface albedos over "Antarctica", we isolate the role of surface temperature 540 and showed that surface and free tropospheric cooling enhances the jet response. Future 541 work should evaluate whether the stationary wave feedback or surface cooling response is 542 crucial for the magnitude of the jet and SAM response in comprehensive models as well, and 543 help explain the conundrum posed by Simpson and Polvani (2016), Seviour et al. (2017), 544 and Son et al. (2018) in which jet latitude/persistence appears to not be relevant for the 545 magnitude of the jet and/or SAM response. Specifically, our work demonstrates that this 546 jet latitude/persistence effect can be dwarfed by the surface cooling effect (Section 6), and 547 hence the theoretical expectation that a more persistent jet will respond more strongly to 548 an external forcing (Chen and Plumb 2009; Garfinkel et al. 2013b) may be washed-out in a 549 comprehensive model by additional processes or model biases. 550

Despite the negative stationary wave feedback on the magnitude of the stratospheric circulation response to ozone depletion, tropospheric planetary and synoptic waves are important for the tropospheric jet response in both AQUA80 and STAT configurations (Figures 7 and 8). Waves 1-3 contribute roughly half of the tropospheric torque in November, though by December and January their contribution is less (Figure 3de and 6de) in the ozone depletion runs. In the diabatic cooling runs with an increased amplitude of the forcing to better isolate the signal (Figure 13), synoptic waves are more important throughout, however planetary waves still contribute.

Gravity waves also act as a negative feedback on the magnitude of the stratospheric circulation response to ozone depletion. Namely, the strengthened polar vortex allows more gravity waves to propagate into the stratosphere, and these gravity waves then break in the subpolar mid- to upper- stratosphere (Figure 10). This partial compensation between gravity waves and an externally imposed forcing is consistent with Cohen et al. (2013); Sigmond and Shepherd (2014); Scheffler and Pulido (2015); Watson and Gray (2015), and Garfinkel and Oman (2018).

The specific mechanism as to how the downward influence arises was not the main focus 566 of this paper, although our results are of relevance to previously proposed theories. Waves-2 567 and -3 are crucial in the lower stratospheric zonal momentum response (Figures 7 and 8, 568 consistent with Orr et al. 2012b). Both planetary and synoptic waves are important for 569 the tropospheric impact, and it was not possible to distinguish whether one leads the other. 570 This difficulty is somewhat mitigated if we enhance the signal-to-noise ratio by imposing a 571 diabatic cooling perturbation five times stronger than that associated with ozone depletion 572 (Figure 13de). In response to such a strong perturbation synoptic wavenumbers respond first, 573 but eddy-eddy interactions still appear crucial for the total response (Domeisen et al. 2013; 574 Smith and Scott 2016). Synoptic waves are somewhat more important in summer, but in 575 late spring the momentum forcing is more evenly split between synoptic and planetary waves 576 for the ozone perturbations in Figure 3de and 6de. This balance is evident both in AQUA80 577 and in STAT, even though stationary wave-1 is present only in STAT. The tropospheric 578 response begins first at subpolar latitudes and only later, after synoptic eddies dominate, 579 includes the midlatitudes. This is consistent with White et al. (2020) and White et al. (2022) 580 who find that in the Northern Hemisphere as well, the midlatitude wind response is delayed 581

relative to the subpolar wind response, and only occurs after synoptic eddies feedback ontothe shift.

In all runs, a tropospheric response does not begin until at least 15 days after the perturba-584 tion to the stratosphere. In the diabatic cooling runs with the forcing increased by a factor 585 of five, there is even a weak equatorward shift in the first ten days (though not evident 586 in Figure 13b using the chosen contour interval). This arises because a thermally driven 587 cooling of the vortex will be balanced in part by downwelling over the pole and equatorward 588 motion in the troposphere, which leads to an easterly Coriolis torque (Eliassen 1951). This 589 opposite response is consistent with Yang et al. (2015) who find that the residual circulation 590 is of the wrong sign to explain the poleward shift, and also with White et al. (2020) who 591 impose a far-stronger 15K/day perturbation and find that the jet shift does not occur for 592 at least 15 days. This effect does not explain why the observed poleward shift is not robust 593 until December, however, as this delay is far longer than 15 days. 59

On the other hand, our simulations help clarify the important factors for the onset of 595 the response, and thereby help explain why the SAM response in observations (and in our 596 STAT configuration) becomes robust only in summer after the ozone hole is already filling 597 up. Namely, the tropospheric response can begin in late October if the forcing is strong 598 (Figure 13b) or stationary waves are absent (Figure 6b). Even in STAT, a robust but non-599 SAM like response is evident in November as well; this early response is characterized by an 600 acceleration of winds only on the subpolar flank of the jet. The net effect is that the delay 60 of the SAM response until December in STAT is a consequence of the negative stationary 602 wave feedback and the relative weakness of the diabatic cooling perturbation associated with 603 ozone depletion. 604

The response to an identical ozone perturbation imposed in the Northern Hemisphere in STAT (STATNH) is significantly weaker than when imposed in the Southern Hemisphere (Supplemental Figure S5). In other words, the tropospheric circulation in the Northern Hemisphere is less sensitive to a stratospheric ozone perturbation. The negative stationary wave feedback likely plays a role. Northern Hemisphere stationary waves are stronger, and hence the stratospheric circulation response to an identical ozone depletion is weaker due to an offset by enhanced wave propagation and convergence in the stratosphere. In addition, the annular mode timescale is shorter in the Northern Hemisphere (22 days vs. 37 days; Figure 9), and hence synoptic eddy feedbacks are weaker too.

In the most realistic configuration (STAT), the model simulates a response resembling 614 that observed and simulated by comprehensive models (Figure 1, 2, and 3). Nevertheless, 615 the model used in this work suffers from some limitations - there is no coupling of the ozone 616 with the dynamics, the imposed ozone hole has no zonal structure, and the land-surface 617 properties over Antarctica are highly idealized including a constant albedo for all shortwave 618 wavelengths. Despite these limitations, the results of our work have implications for sea-619 sonal forecasting and for the interpretation of results from both comprehensive and idealized 620 models. First, interannual variability in ozone concentrations can be used to enhance sea-621 sonal forecasting (Figure 9), consistent with Hendon et al. (2020), Jucker and Goyal (2022), 622 and Oh et al. (2022). Second, dry and flat idealized models miss the stationary wave effect, 623 which may lead to an exaggerated stratospheric response to a given stratospheric diabatic 624 perturbation. Third, the Antarctic surface temperature response to ozone depletion helps 625 regulate the magnitude of the jet response, and it is not clear how well models can capture 626 the stable boundary layers common over Antarctica, the mixed-phase and ice clouds com-627 mon at these latitudes, or the properties of a glaciated land surface. Future work should 628 explore whether differences in how models represent these processes can explain some of the 629 diversity in future projections of climate change in the Southern Hemisphere (Gerber and 630 Son 2014), and thereby help narrow projections as ozone recovers. 63

Acknowledgments. CIG, IW, and EPG acknowledge the support of a European Research 635 Council starting grant under the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation 636 programme (grant agreement number 677756). EPG acknowledges additional support from 637 the US NSF through grant AGS 1852727. MJ acknowledges support from the Australian 638 Research Council (ARC) Centre of Excellence for Climate Extremes (CE170100023) and 639 ARC grant FL 150100035. SWS was supported by the National Research Foundation 640 of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (Ministry of Science and ICT 641 2017R1E1A1A01074889. We thank the reviewers for their helpful comments on earlier drafts 642 of this paper. 643



Fig. 13. As in Figure 3 but for a diabatic heating rate of -2.5 K/day in the lower stratosphere and no ozone depletion. Note factor of 5 difference in colorbar for (a) and (b), and factor of 2 difference for (c)-(e).

The Data availability statement. version of MiMA used in this 644 code study, including the modified source can be downloaded from 645 https://github.com/ianpwhite/MiMA/releases/tag/MiMA-ThermalForcing-v1.0beta (with 646 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4523199). 647

648 References

Andrews, D. G., J. R. Holton, and C. B. Leovy, 1987: Middle Atmosphere Dynamics. Academic Press.

Arblaster, J. M., G. A. Meehl, and D. J. Karoly, 2011: Future climate change in the south-651 ern hemisphere: Competing effects of ozone and greenhouse gases. Geophysical Research 652 Letters, 38(2).

Baldwin, M. P., D. B. Stephenson, D. W. J. Thompson, T. J. Dunkerton, A. J. Charlton, 654

and A. O'Neill, 2003: Stratospheric memory and skill of extended-range weather forecasts. 655

Science, 301, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1087143. 656

Bandoro, J., S. Solomon, A. Donohoe, D. W. Thompson, and B. D. Santer, 2014: Influences 657 of the antarctic ozone hole on southern hemispheric summer climate change. Journal of

Climate, 27 (16), 6245–6264. 659

653

658

Banerjee, A., J. C. Fyfe, L. M. Polvani, D. Waugh, and K.-L. Chang, 2020: A pause in 660 southern hemisphere circulation trends due to the montreal protocol. Nature, 579 (7800), 661 544 - 548. 662

Barnes, E. A., and L. Polvani, 2013: Response of the midlatitude jets, and of their variability, 663 to increased greenhouse gases in the cmip5 models. Journal of Climate, 26 (18), 7117–7135, 664 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00536.1. 665

Betts, A., and M. Miller, 1986: A new convective adjustment scheme. part ii: Sin-666 gle column tests using gate wave, bomex, atex and arctic air-mass data sets. Quar-667 terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 112 (473), 693–709, https://doi.org/ 668 10.1002/qj.49711247308. 669

- Betts, A. K., 1986: A new convective adjustment scheme. part i: Observational and theo-670 retical basis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 112 (473), 677–691, 671 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49711247307. 672
- Checa-Garcia, R., 2018: Cmip6 ozone forcing dataset: supporting information. Zenodo, 673 URL https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1135127. 674
- Checa-Garcia, R., M. I. Hegglin, D. Kinnison, D. A. Plummer, and K. P. Shine, 2018: 675
- Historical tropospheric and stratospheric ozone radiative forcing using the cmip6 database. 676
- Geophysical Research Letters, 45 (7), 3264–3273. 677

- ⁶⁷⁸ Chen, G., and R. A. Plumb, 2009: Quantifying the Eddy Feedback and the Persistence of
 ⁶⁷⁹ the Zonal Index in an Idealized Atmospheric Model. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 66,
 ⁶⁸⁰ 3707, https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JAS3165.1.
- ⁶⁸¹ Chiodo, G., L. Polvani, and M. Previdi, 2017: Large increase in incident shortwave radiation
 ⁶⁸² due to the ozone hole offset by high climatological albedo over antarctica. Journal of
 ⁶⁸³ Climate, 30 (13), 4883–4890.
- ⁶⁸⁴ Cohen, N. Y., E. P. Gerber, and E. P. Oliver Bühler, 2013: Compensation between re⁶⁸⁵ solved and unresolved wave driving in the stratosphere: Implications for downward
 ⁶⁸⁶ control. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 70 (12), 3780–3798, https://doi.org/
 ⁶⁸⁷ 10.1175/JAS-D-12-0346.1.
- ⁶⁸⁸ Domeisen, D. I., L. Sun, and G. Chen, 2013: The role of synoptic eddies in the tropospheric ⁶⁸⁹ response to stratospheric variability. Geophysical research letters, 40 (18), 4933–4937.
- Eliassen, A., 1951: Slow thermally or frictionally controlled meridional circulation in a circular vortex. Astrophysica Norvegica, 5, 19.
- Eyring, V., and Coauthors, 2013: Long-term ozone changes and associated climate impacts
 in cmip5 simulations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118 (10), 5029–
 5060, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50316.
- Frierson, D. M., I. M. Held, and P. Zurita-Gotor, 2006: A gray-radiation aquaplanet moist
 gcm. part i: Static stability and eddy scale. Journal of the atmospheric sciences, 63 (10),
 2548-2566, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3753.1.
- Frierson, D. M., I. M. Held, and P. Zurita-Gotor, 2007: A gray-radiation aquaplanet moist
 gcm. part ii: Energy transports in altered climates. Journal of the atmospheric sciences,
 64 (5), 1680–1693, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3913.1.
- ⁷⁰¹ Garcia, R. R., D. E. Kinnison, and D. R. Marsh, 2012: "world avoided" simulations with
- the whole atmosphere community climate model. Journal of Geophysical Research: At-
- $_{703}$ mospheres, 117 (D23).

- Garfinkel, C., and L. Oman, 2018: Effect of gravity waves from small islands in the southern ocean on the southern hemisphere atmospheric circulation. Journal of Geophysical
 Research: Atmospheres, 123 (3), 1552–1561.
- Garfinkel, C., D. W. Waugh, and E. Gerber, 2013a: Effect of tropospheric jet latitude on
 coupling between the stratospheric polar vortex and the troposphere. J. Clim., 26 (6),
 2077–2095, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00301.1.
- Garfinkel, C. I., D. W. Waugh, and E. P. Gerber, 2013b: The effect of tropospheric jet
 latitude on coupling between the stratospheric polar vortex and the troposphere. Journal
 of Climate, 26 (6), https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00301.1.
- Garfinkel, C. I., I. White, E. P. Gerber, and M. Jucker, 2020a: The impact of sst biases in
 the tropical east pacific and agulhas current region on atmospheric stationary waves in
 the southern hemisphere. Journal of Climate, 33 (21), 9351–9374.
- Garfinkel, C. I., I. P. White, E. P. Gerber, and M. Jucker, 2020b: The building blocks
 of northern hemisphere wintertime stationary waves. Journal of Climate, 33 (13),
 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0181.1.
- Gerber, E. P., and L. M. Polvani, 2009: Stratosphere-troposphere coupling in a relatively simple agem: The importance of stratospheric variability. J. Clim., 22, 1920–1933, https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JCLI2548.1.
- Gerber, E. P., L. M. Polvani, and D. Ancukiewicz, 2008: Annular mode time scales in the
 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Fourth Assessment Report models. Geophys.
 Res. Lett., 35, L22707, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL035712.
- Gerber, E. P., and S.-W. Son, 2014: Quantifying the summertime response of the austral jet
 stream and hadley cell to stratospheric ozone and greenhouse gases. Journal of Climate,
 27, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00539.1.
- Gillett, N. P., and D. W. Thompson, 2003: Simulation of recent southern hemisphere climate
 change. Science, 302 (5643), 273–275.

Gonzalez, P. L., L. M. Polvani, R. Seager, and G. J. Correa, 2014: Stratospheric ozone
depletion: a key driver of recent precipitation trends in south eastern south america.
Climate Dynamics, 42 (7-8), 1775–1792.

Grise, K. M., D. W. Thompson, and P. M. Forster, 2009: On the role of radiative processes
in stratosphere-troposphere coupling. Journal of climate, 22 (15), 4154–4161.

⁷³⁵ Hendon, H., E.-P. Lim, and S. Abhik, 2020: Impact of interannual ozone variations on the

 $_{736}$ downward coupling of the 2002 southern hemisphere stratospheric warming. Journal of

⁷³⁷ Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 125 (16), e2020JD032952.

- Hendon, H. H., D. W. Thompson, and M. C. Wheeler, 2007: Australian rainfall and surface
 temperature variations associated with the southern hemisphere annular mode. Journal
 of Climate, 20 (11), 2452–2467.
- ⁷⁴¹ Hitchcock, P., and I. R. Simpson, 2016: Quantifying eddy feedbacks and forcings in the
 ⁷⁴² tropospheric response to stratospheric sudden warmings. Journal of the Atmospheric Sci⁷⁴³ ences, 73 (9), 3641–3657.
- Hurwitz, M. M., P. A. Newman, and C. I. Garfinkel, 2011: The Arctic vortex in March
 2011: a dynamical perspective. Atm. Chem. Phys., 11, 11447–11453, https://doi.org/
 10.5194/acp-11-11447-2011.
- Hurwitz, M. M., P. A. Newman, F. Li, L. D. Oman, O. Morgenstern, P. Braesicke, and
 J. A. Pyle, 2010: Assessment of the breakup of the Antarctic polar vortex in two new
 chemistry-climate models. Journal of Geophysical Research (Atmospheres), 115, D07 105,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012788.
- Iacono, M. J., E. J. Mlawer, S. A. Clough, and J.-J. Morcrette, 2000: Impact of an improved
 longwave radiation model, rrtm, on the energy budget and thermodynamic properties of
 the near community climate model, ccm3. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,
 105 (D11), 14873-14890.
- Jucker, M., and E. Gerber, 2017: Untangling the annual cycle of the tropical tropopause layer with an idealized moist model. Journal of Climate, 30 (18), 7339–7358.

- Jucker, M., and R. Goyal, 2022: Ozone-forced southern annular mode during antarctic
 stratospheric warming events. Geophysical Research Letters, 49 (4), e2021GL095270,
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL095270, URL https://agupubs.
 onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2021GL095270, e2021GL095270 2021GL095270,
- https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2021GL095270.
- ⁷⁶² Kållberg, P., P. Berrisford, B. Hoskins, A. Simmons, S. Lamy-thépaut, and R. Hine, 2005:
 ⁷⁶³ ERA-40 atlas. ERA-40 Project Report Series 19, ECMWF, 103 pp.
- Kang, S. M., L. M. Polvani, J. C. Fyfe, and M. Sigmond, 2011: Impact of Polar Ozone Depletion on Subtropical Precipitation. Science, 332, 951-, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.
 1202131.
- ⁷⁶⁷ Karpechko, A. Y., A. L. A. Maycock, M. Abalos, H. Akiyoshi, J. Arblaster, C. Garfinkel,
 ⁷⁶⁸ K. Rosenlof, and M. Sigmond, 2018: Stratospheric Ozone Changes and Climate, Chapter

⁷⁶⁹ 5 in Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. Global Ozone Research and Monitoring

- ⁷⁷⁰ Project Rep. No. 58, 416 pp.
- Kidson, J. W., 1988: Interannual variations in the southern hemisphere circulation. Journal
 of Climate, 1 (12), 1177–1198.
- Kushner, P. J., and L. M. Polvani, 2004: Stratosphere-troposphere coupling in a relatively
 simple agcm: The role of eddies. J. Clim., 17, 629–639.
- Lawrence, Z. D., J. Perlwitz, A. H. Butler, G. L. Manney, P. A. Newman, S. H. Lee, and
 E. R. Nash, 2020: The remarkably strong arctic stratospheric polar vortex of winter
 2020: Links to record-breaking arctic oscillation and ozone loss. Journal of Geophysical
 Research: Atmospheres, 125 (22), e2020JD033 271.
- Li, F., P. A. Newman, and R. S. Stolarski, 2010: Relationships between the brewer-dobson
 circulation and the southern annular mode during austral summer in coupled chemistry-
- climate model simulations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 115 (D15).
- Manney, G. L., and Coauthors, 2011: Unprecedented arctic ozone loss in 2011. Nature,
 478 (7370), 469–475.

Manzini, E., B. Steil, C. Brühl, M. A. Giorgetta, and K. Krüger, 2003: A new interactive
chemistry-climate model: 2. sensitivity of the middle atmosphere to ozone depletion and
increase in greenhouse gases and implications for recent stratospheric cooling. Journal of
Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108 (D14).

McLandress, C., A. I. Jonsson, D. A. Plummer, M. C. Reader, J. F. Scinocca, and T. G.
Shepherd, 2010: Separating the dynamical effects of climate change and ozone depletion. part i: Southern hemisphere stratosphere. Journal of Climate, 23 (18), 5002–5020,
https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI3586.1.

McLandress, C., T. G. Shepherd, J. F. Scinocca, D. A. Plummer, M. Sigmond, A. I. Jonsson,
and M. C. Reader, 2011: Separating the dynamical effects of climate change and ozone
depletion. part ii: Southern hemisphere troposphere. Journal of Climate, 24 (6), 1850–
1868.

Merlis, T. M., T. Schneider, S. Bordoni, and I. Eisenman, 2013: Hadley circulation response
to orbital precession. part ii: Subtropical continent. Journal of Climate, 26 (3), 754–771.

Mlawer, E. J., S. J. Taubman, P. D. Brown, M. J. Iacono, and S. A. Clough, 1997: Radiative
 transfer for inhomogeneous atmospheres: Rrtm, a validated correlated-k model for the
 longwave. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 102 (D14), 16663–16682.

Neely, R., D. Marsh, K. Smith, S. Davis, and L. Polvani, 2014: Biases in southern
hemisphere climate trends induced by coarsely specifying the temporal resolution of
stratospheric ozone. Geophysical Research Letters, 41 (23), 8602–8610, https://doi.org/
10.1002/2014GL061627.

Newman, P., and Coauthors, 2009: What would have happened to the ozone layer if chlorofluorocarbons (cfcs) had not been regulated? Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9 (6),
2113–2128.

⁸⁰⁸ Ogawa, F., N.-E. Omrani, K. Nishii, H. Nakamura, and N. Keenlyside, 2015: Ozone-induced ⁸⁰⁹ climate change propped up by the southern hemisphere oceanic front. Geophysical Re-⁸¹⁰ search Letters, 42 (22), 10–056.

- ⁸¹¹ Oh, J., S.-W. Son, J. Choi, E.-P. Lim, C. Garfinkel, H. Hendon, Y. Kim, and H.⁸¹² S. Kang, 2022: Impact of stratospheric ozone on the subseasonal prediction in the
 ⁸¹³ southern hemisphere spring. Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 9 (1), 1–9, URL
 ⁸¹⁴ https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-022-00485-4.
- ⁸¹⁵ Orr, A., T. J. Bracegirdle, J. S. Hosking, W. Feng, H. K. Roscoe, and J. D. Haigh, 2012a: ⁸¹⁶ Strong dynamical modulation of the cooling of the polar stratosphere associated with the ⁸¹⁷ antarctic ozone hole. Journal of Climate, 26 (2), 662–668.
- Orr, A., T. J. Bracegirdle, J. S. Hosking, T. Jung, J. D. Haigh, T. Phillips, and W. Feng,
 2012b: Possible dynamical mechanisms for southern hemisphere climate change due to
 the ozone hole. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 69 (10), 2917–2932.
- Polvani, L. M., M. Previdi, and C. Deser, 2011: Large cancellation, due to ozone recovery, of
 future southern hemisphere atmospheric circulation trends. Geophysical Research Letters,
 38 (4), https://doi.org/DOI:10.1029/2011GL046712.
- Polvani, L. M., D. W. Waugh, G. J. P. Correa, and S.-W. Son, 2011: Stratospheric
 Ozone Depletion: The Main Driver of Twentieth-Century Atmospheric Circulation
 Changes in the Southern Hemisphere. Journal of Climate, 24, 795–812, https://doi.org/
 10.1175/2010JCLI3772.1.
- Previdi, M., and L. M. Polvani, 2014: Climate system response to stratospheric
 ozone depletion and recovery. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society,
 140 (685), 2401–2419, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2330, URL https://
 rmets.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/qj.2330, https://rmets.onlinelibrary.wiley.
 com/doi/pdf/10.1002/qj.2330.
- Randel, W. J., and Coauthors, 2009: An update of observed stratospheric temperature
 trends. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 114 (D2), https://doi.org/10.
 1029/2008JD010421, URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010421.
- Rao, J., and C. I. Garfinkel, 2020: Arctic ozone loss in march 2020 and its seasonal prediction
 in cfsv2: A comparative study with the 1997 and 2011 cases. Journal of Geophysical
 Research: Atmospheres, 125 (21), e2020JD033 524.

- Rao, J., and C. I. Garfinkel, 2021: CMIP5/6 models project little change in the statistical 839 characteristics of sudden stratospheric warmings in the 21st century. Environ. Res. Lett., 840 16 (3), 034024, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abd4fe. 841
- Scheffler, G., and M. Pulido, 2015: Compensation between resolved and unresolved wave 842 drag in the stratospheric final warmings of the southern hemisphere. Journal of the At-843 mospheric Sciences, 72 (11), 4393–4411, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-14-0270.1. 844
- Seviour, W. J., D. W. Waugh, L. M. Polvani, G. J. Correa, and C. I. Garfinkel, 2017: 845 Robustness of the simulated tropospheric response to ozone depletion. Journal of Climate, 846 30(7), 2577-2585.847

Sheshadri, A., and R. A. Plumb, 2016: Sensitivity of the surface responses of an 848 idealized agcm to the timing of imposed ozone depletion-like polar stratospheric 849 cooling. Geophysical Research Letters, 43 (5), 2330–2336, https://doi.org/https: 850 //doi.org/10.1002/2016GL067964, URL https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/ 851 abs/10.1002/2016GL067964, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/ 852 2016GL067964. 853

- Sigmond, M., and T. G. Shepherd, 2014: Compensation between resolved wave driving 854 and parameterized orographic gravity wave driving of the brewer-dobson circulation and 855 its response to climate change. Journal of Climate, 27 (14), 5601–5610, https://doi.org/ 856 10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00644.1. 857
- Simpson, I. R., and L. M. Polvani, 2016: Revisiting the relationship between jet position, 858 forced response, and annular mode variability in the southern midlatitudes. Geophysical 859 Research Letters, 43 (6), 2896–2903. 860
- Smith, K. L., and R. K. Scott, 2016: The role of planetary waves in the tropospheric 861 jet response to stratospheric cooling. Geophysical Research Letters, 43 (6), 2904– 862 2911, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL067849, URL https://agupubs. 863 onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2016GL067849, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary. 864 wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/2016GL067849.

865

- Solomon, S., R. R. Garcia, F. S. Rowland, and D. J. Wuebbles, 1986: On the depletion of
 Antarctic ozone. nature, 321, 755–758, https://doi.org/10.1038/321755a0.
- Solomon, S., R. W. Portmann, T. Sasaki, D. J. Hofmann, and D. W. Thompson, 2005: Four
 decades of ozonesonde measurements over antarctica. Journal of Geophysical Research:
 Atmospheres, 110 (D21).
- Son, S.-W., E. P. Gerber, J. Perlwitz, L. M. Polvani, and C.-. collaborators, 2010: Impact
 of stratospheric ozone on Southern Hemisphere circulation change: A multimodel assessment. Journal of Geophysical Research (Atmospheres), 115, D00M07, https://doi.org/
 10.1029/2010JD014271.
- Son, S.-W., A. Purich, H. H. Hendon, B.-M. Kim, and L. M. Polvani, 2013: Improved
 seasonal forecast using ozone hole variability? Geophysical Research Letters, 40 (23),
 6231–6235.
- ⁸⁷⁸ Son, S.-W., and Coauthors, 2008: The impact of stratospheric ozone recovery on the south-⁸⁷⁹ ern hemisphere westerly jet. Science, 320 (5882), 1486–1489.
- Son, S.-W., and Coauthors, 2018: Tropospheric jet response to antarctic ozone depletion: An
 update with chemistry-climate model initiative (ccmi) models. Environmental Research
 Letters, 13 (5), 054024.
- Stolarski, R. S., A. R. Douglass, M. Gupta, P. A. Newman, S. Pawson, M. R. Schoeberl,
 and J. E. Nielsen, 2006: An ozone increase in the Antarctic summer stratosphere: A
 dynamical response to the ozone hole. Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L21805, https://doi.org/
 10.1029/2006GL026820.
- Sun, L., G. Chen, and W. A. Robinson, 2014: The role of stratospheric polar vortex break down in southern hemisphere climate trends. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 71 (7),
 2335–2353.
- Thompson, D. W., and J. M. Wallace, 2000: Annular modes in the extratropical circulation. part i: Month-to-month variability. Journal of climate, 13 (5), 1000–1016.

- Thompson, D. W. J., S. Solomon, P. J. Kushner, M. H. England, K. M. Grise, and D. J. 892
- Karoly, 2011: Signatures of the Antarctic ozone hole in Southern Hemisphere surface 893 climate change. Nature Geoscience, 4, 741–749, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1296. 894
- Trenberth, K. E., and D. P. Stepaniak, 2002: A pathological problem with NCEP reanalysis 895 in the stratosphere. J. Clim. 896
- Ummenhofer, C. C., A. S. Gupta, and M. H. England, 2009: Causes of late twentieth-century 897 trends in new zealand precipitation. Journal of Climate, 22 (1), 3–19.
- Watson, P. A., and L. J. Gray, 2015: The stratospheric wintertime response to applied 899 extratropical torques and its relationship with the annular mode. Climate Dynamics, 900 44 (9-10), 2513–2537, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2359-2. 901
- Waugh, D. W., C. Garfinkel, and L. M. Polvani, 2015: Drivers of the recent tropical ex-902 pansion in the southern hemisphere: Changing ssts or ozone depletion? J. Clim., 28, 903 6581–6586, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0138.1. 904
- Weber, M., M. Coldewey-Egbers, V. E. Fioletov, S. M. Frith, J. D. Wild, J. P. Burrows, 905 C. S. Long, and D. Loyola, 2018: Total ozone trends from 1979 to 2016 derived from five 906 merged observational datasets-the emergence into ozone recovery. Atmospheric Chemistry 907 and Physics, 18 (3), 2097–2117. 908
- White, I. P., C. I. Garfinkel, E. P. Gerber, M. Jucker, P. Hitchcock, and J. Rao, 2020: The 909 generic nature of the tropospheric response to sudden stratospheric warmings. Journal of 910 Climate, 33 (13), 5589–5610, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0697.1.

911

- White, I. P., C. I. Garfinkel, and P. Hitchcock, 2022: On the tropospheric response to 912 stratospheric momentum torques. Journal of Climate, 35, https://doi.org/inpress. 913
- World Meteorological Organization, 2011: Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2010. 914 Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project Rep. No. 52, 516 pp. 915
- World Meteorological Organization, 2014: Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2014. 916 Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project Rep. No. 55, 416 pp. 917

- Yang, H., L. Sun, and G. Chen, 2015: Separating the mechanisms of transient responses to
 stratospheric ozone depletion-like cooling in an idealized atmospheric model. Journal of
 Atmospheric Sciences, 72 (2), 763-773.
- Yang, J., Q. Bao, D. Ji, D. Gong, R. Mao, Z. Zhang, and S.-J. Kim, 2014: Simulation
 and causes of eastern antarctica surface cooling related to ozone depletion during austral
 summer in fgoals-s2. Advances in Atmospheric Sciences, 31 (5), 1147–1156.
- Young, P., S. Davis, B. Hassler, S. Solomon, and K. Rosenlof, 2014: Modeling the climate im-
- pact of southern hemisphere ozone depletion: The importance of the ozone data set. Geo-
- ⁹²⁶ physical Research Letters, 41 (24), 9033–9039, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL061738.